in the nonpolar fractions. ${ }^{11}$ Column chromatography (ethyl acetate) or HPLC (8:92 isopropyl alcohol:hexane) of the polar fraction afforded the most active component ajoene (4) as a colorless, odorless oil of formula $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ (elemental analysis ${ }^{12}$ and CI-MS using methane and ammonia): IR 1050 (s, C-S-(O)-C), $1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\right.$ ); UV $\lambda_{\text {max }} 240 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.38(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.8,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H},=$ CHSS $), 5.9(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 5.4\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{O})\right), 5.2(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 3.5\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SSCH}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ 134.7, 132.6, 125.7, 123.7, 119.3. $116.9,54.5,53.1,41.4$. The spectroscopic data are consistent with the structure ( $E$ )-4,5,9-trithiadodeca-1,6,11-triene 9 -oxide, (E) $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHSSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{6.8}(4-E)$. An isomeric compound with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz ) $\delta 6.56$ (dt, $J$ $=9.5,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H},=\mathrm{CHSS}), 5.8\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H},=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 5.4(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $5.2\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 3.5$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SSCH}_{2}\right.$ ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 138.5,132.7,125.7,123.8,119.3,118.2,55.1,49.7$, 42.2 was identified as the $Z$ isomer of 4 . The three compounds 3,4, and 7 account for more than $75 \%$ of the platelet aggregation inhibitory activity of garlic extract.

We suggest (Scheme I) that compounds 3-8 are formed by decomposition of allicin (1), itself formed from a stable precursor by action of the allinase enzyme followed by dehydrative coupling of 2-propenesulfenic acid (steps a and b). ${ }^{13}$ S-Thioallylation of 1 followed by Cope-type elimination and readdition of 2propenesulfenic acid ${ }^{14 \mathrm{a}}$ should give ( $E, Z$ )-ajoene (4) (steps c and d) while unimolecular decomposition of 1 (steps e and f) should afford thioacrolein (9) which would dimerize, following mechanisms previously advanced by one of us. ${ }^{1 d .14 b}$ In accord with this proposal, ( $E, Z$ )-ajoene (4) could be obtained by refluxing a solution of $1^{15}\left(10 \% \text { in } 3: 2 \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{16}$ for 4 h , centrifuging, and extracting $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ the upper layer, which had been diluted with methanol and repeatedly extracted with pentane to remove nonpolar materials. Flash chromatography of the methylene chloride concentrate ( 0.34 g from 1 g of $\mathbf{1}$; ca. $34 \%$ yield of slightly impure 4) gave $4(4: 1 E: Z)$ in $17 \%$ yield. Synthetic 4 was identical in all respects with the natural material. GC analysis of the pentane-soluble fraction ( 0.52 g from 1 g of 1 ) including the methanol-water-washed centrifugate indicated a 21:17:50:12 mixture of $\mathbf{5 / 3 / 7} / \mathbf{8}$, respectively. This ratio changed to $4: 4: 75: 17$ when 1 was decomposed in the same solvent mixture at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days or $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 days, reflecting partial decomposition of some compounds at the higher temperature and/or different temperature dependence of the reactions of Scheme I. The near identity of the $4.4: 1$ ratio of 7 to 8 observed in the 37 or $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decomposition of 1 and the $4.5: 1$ ratio of 7 to 8 found from dimerization at $-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of thioacrolein (9) from flash vacuum pyrolysis of diallyl sulfide ${ }^{5}$ provides support for steps e and $\mathrm{f} .{ }^{17}$ Steps $g$ and $i$ are supported by formation of propene on refluxing 1 in water and occurrence ${ }^{10}$ of sulfur dioxide and allyl alcohol in
(9) Heterocycles 7 and 8 (incorrectly identified as 3 -vinyl- $6 \mathrm{H}-1,2$-dithiin) were formed on preparative GC of 1 but were said not to be present in garlic extracts. ${ }^{10}$
(10) Brodnitz, M. H.; Pascale, J. V.; Van Derslice, L. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1971, 19, 273-275.
(11) HPLC a nalysis of commercial garlic oil or pearls showed that 4, 7, and 8 were absent; however, the latter three compounds would be unlikely to survive the steam distillation used to produce the commercial essential oil of garlic.
(12) Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 46.2 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.98 ; \mathrm{S}, 41.0$. Found: C , 45.8; H, 5.90; S, 40.8.
(13) Stoll, A.; Seebeck, E. Adv. Enzymol. Relat. Subj. Biochem. 1951, Il, 377-400.
(14) (a) For a related process involving $\gamma$-chlorination of allylic sulfides, see: Mura, A. J., Jr.; Bennett, D. A.; Cohen, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975 4433-4436. (b) For thioaldehyde formation from thiosulfinates, see: Baldwin, J. E.; Lopez, R. G. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 1487-1498.
(15) Prepared by oxidation of $5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$
(16) This solvent mixture was chosen because it maximizes the yield and $E / Z$ ratio of 4 and gave an initially homogeneous solution with 1 . The $Z$ isomer is the major product on decomposition of neat 1 or a solution of 1 in acetone or benzene/water.
(17) Direct observation of deep blue thioacrolein (9) could be achieved by distilling 1 into a liquid-nitrogen-cooled trap; 2-propenesulfenic acid could also be trapped with an alkyne as reported previously. ${ }^{76}$
garlic extracts; steps h and j employ previously proposed mechanisms ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~d}, 18}$ to rationalize formation of $\mathbf{3}$ and 5 . The mechanisms of Scheme I are also supported by studies on the decomposition of $S$-methýl 2-propenethiosulfinate. ${ }^{19}$

The ready availability of ajoene (4) permits study of the nature of its antithrombotic activity. Preliminary results indicate that when rabbits are fed $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of body weight of ( $E, Z$ )-4 $100 \%$ inhibition of collagen-induced platelet aggregation is seen for a $24-h$ period after feeding. In vitro tests provide other interesting information on inhibition by 4: the effect of 4 increases with time of incubation with platelets; its effect cannot be reversed by washing platelets; aggregation induced by all known inductors is inhibited; rabbit granulocyte aggregation is also inhibited. ${ }^{20}$ These physiological observations suggest that the age-old belief in the therapeutic effect of garlic on the circulatory system may indeed have some basis.
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## Asymmetric Total Synthesis of Levuglandin $\mathrm{E}_{2}{ }^{1}$
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It is fascinating that the prostaglandin (PG) endoperoxide $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ is extraordinarily unstable in the aqueous environment of its biosynthesis. ${ }^{2}$ Recently we discovered that this solvent-induced decomposition yields ( $\simeq 20 \%$ ) two levulinaldehyde derivatives, ${ }^{3}$ levuglandin $\mathrm{E}_{2}\left(\mathrm{LGE}_{2}\right)$ (1) and $\mathrm{LGD}_{2}(\mathbf{2})$, in addition to the

(1) Prostaglandin Endoperoxides. 15. Previous paper in series: Salomon, R. G.; Miller, D. B.; Zagorski, M. G.; Coughlin, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6049
(2) (a) Hamberg, M.; Samuelsson, B. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1973, 70, 899. (b) Hamberg, M.; Svensson, J.; Wakabayashi, T.; Samuelsson, B. Ibid. 1974, 71, 345. (c) Nugteren, D. H.; Hazelhof, E. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1973, 326, 488. (d) Raz, A.; Kenig-Wakshal, R.; Schwartzman, M. Ibid. 1977, 488, 322. (e) Nugteren, D. H.; Christ-Hazelhof, E. Adv. Prostaglandin Thromboxane Res. 1980, 6, 129.
(3) Zagorski, M. G.; Salomon, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 3498.

Scheme I

$\operatorname{LGE}_{2}(1)$
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previously recognized products $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PGD}_{2}{ }^{4}$ Separation of levuglandins from this mixture is hampered by their proclivity toward dehydration. Although the more stable of these levuglandins was isolated by HPLC, neither mass spectral nor nuclear magnetic resonance data ${ }^{5}$ were deemed adequate for a choice between structures $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$ for this ketoaldehyde. Owing to the limited availability of $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$, we turned to total synthesis as a practical alternative source of levuglandins to facilitate thorough chemical characterization and biological evaluation. We now report an efficient asymmetric synthesis of $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}[8(R)$-acetyl-$9(R)$-formyl-12( $S$ )-hydroxy-5(Z),10(E)-heptadecadienoic acid].
$\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$ is a challenging target for total synthesis. Besides a sensitive vinylogous $\beta$-hydroxy carbonyl array, this acyclic compound incorporates three asymmetric centers, two of which are epimerizable. A key feature of our synthetic strategy (Scheme I) is generation of the sensitive vinylogous $\beta$-hydroxy aldehyde functional array late in the synthesis employing a mild periodate cleavage of a vicinal diol $3{ }^{6}$ In analogy with a strategy for synthesis of prostaglandins, ${ }^{7}$ a convergent construction of the levuglandin skeleton is achieved by stereospecific Michael addition of a vinyl nucleophile to chiral enone 4. The asymmetry of 4 is provided by L-glyceraldehyde acetonide (6), ${ }^{8}$ which affords the enone upon olefination with phosphonate 5. Recent precedent ${ }^{9}$ indicates that 1,4 -addition of the vinyl cuprate 7 will proceed by a Felkin-type transition state ${ }^{10}$ in which carbon-carbon bond formation occurs anti to the polar allylic alkoxyl. Therefore, an $R$ configuration at the alkoxy-substituted allylic center in 4 will foster generation of the $R$ configuration required at position 9 in $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$ (1). Precedents extant at the inception of this project indicated that chiral allylic alkoxy-substituted centers direct stereospecific addition of nucleophiles to Michael acceptors, but the sense of asymmetric induction varies with the nature of the nucleophile, ${ }^{11}$ and the outcome for additions of vinyl cuprates was
(4) At pH 8 , products which were isolated from decomposition of [1$\left.{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right] \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ by extraction of the acidified solution with diethyl ether, separated and identified by TLC, and quantified by liquid scintillation counting included $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}(71 \%)$ and $\mathrm{PGD}_{2}(20 \%) .{ }^{2 \mathrm{c}}$ It is important to note that these are distributions of radioactive products isolated, and not absolute yields.
(5) Salomon, R. G.; Miller, D. B.; Zagorski, M. G.; Levison, B. S.; Lal, K.; Raychaudhuri, S. R.; Avasthi, K. Adv. Prostaglandin Thromboxane Leukotriene Res., in press.
(6) An early application of a vicinal diol as a latent carbonyl appears in a synthesis of lysergic acid: Kornfeld, E. C.; Fornefeld, E. J.; Klein, G. B.; Mann, M. J.; Morrison, D. E.; Jones, R. G.; Woodward, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 3087.
(7) Sih, C. J.; Salomon, R. G.; Price, P.; Sood, R.; Peruzzotti, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 857 and references cited therein.
(8) (a) Baker, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 827. (b) Baer, E.; Fischer, H. O. L. J. Biol. Chem. 1939, 128, 463.
(9) Roush, W. R.; Lesur, B. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 2231.
(10) (a) Cherest, M.; Felkin, H; Prudent, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 2199. (b) Anh, N. T. Top. Curr. Chem. 1980, 88, 145.

Scheme II $^{\alpha}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) NaH , (b) RBr , (c) $\mathrm{MgBr} \mathrm{g}_{2}$, (d) $\mathrm{NaOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (c) HCl at pH 3 .


Figure 1. ORD spectra of flurenylidene derivatives: top, 12-SS; middle, fluorenylidene derivative of $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$ methyl ester obtained from $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$; bottom, 12-RR.
not yet known. Therefore, since acetonides of both $D$ - and $L$ glyceraldehyde are readily available, ${ }^{8}$ syntheses were executed by using 4 and its enantiomer and the final products were correlated with $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$.

[^1]The $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$ skeleton was assembled as outlined in Scheme II. Alkylation of (diethylphosphono) acetone (8) with methyl 7 -bromohept-5(Z)-enoate ${ }^{12}$ affords 5 whose sodium salt reacts with isopropylidene-L-glyceraldehyde (6) to produce the isomeric enones $4-Z$ and $4-E$ (1:2.3). Reaction of either isomeric enone with vinyl cuprate $7,{ }^{13}$ prepared from 1 -iodo- $3(S)$-[ tert-butyldimethyl-silyl)oxy]-1(E)-octene ${ }^{14}$ afforded identical mixtures of $9-R R^{15}$ ( $70 \%$ ), which has the C-8 configuration of $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$, and the C-8 epimer $9-S R^{15}(30 \%)$. Interestingly, Michael addition occurred only in the presence of $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$, which presumably serves as a Lewis acid catalyst. ${ }^{16}$ That $9-R R$ and $9-S R$ are epimeric at position 8 is evident from the observation that saponification of either ester afforded identical mixtures of epimeric acids $10-R R(70 \%)$ and 10-SR (30\%).

Unexpectedly, treatment of either $9-R R$ or $9-S R$ with aqueous acetic acid followed by sodium periodate afforded the same 13:1 mixture of $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$ methyl ester ( $11-R R$ ) and the 8 -epi isomer (11-SR). This remarkably high preference for the required $8 R$ configuration contrasts with the 7:3 equilibrium ratio observed for $10-R R$ and $10-S R$. The 1,2 -dihydroxyethyl substituent in the intermediate vicinal diol $\mathbf{3}$ apparently plays a role in the stereoselective epimerization since efficient interception of this intermediate allows conversion of $9-S R$ to mixtures richer in the 11-SR epimer. Thus, treatment of $9-S R$ with aqueous acetic acid in the presence of sodium periodate yielded a $2: 1$ mixture of $11-R R$ and $11-S R$. Further discussion of this remarkable stereoselection is

deferred to a full account of this work. The aldehydic ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonance for 11-RR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ occurs at $\delta 9.47$ as found for the methyl ester of $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$ derived from $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ while the corresponding resonance for 11-SR occurs at $\delta 9.56$. For comparison with $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$ methyl ester derived from $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$, 8-epi-9-epi-LGE 2 methyl ester 11-SS was obtained from 9-SS prepared by substituting iso-propylidene-D-glyceraldehyde for the $L$ isomer 6 in Scheme II. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $11-R R$, 11-SS, and LGE $_{2}$ methyl ester obtained from $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ are almost identical. To facilitate correlation, these keto aldehydes were converted to fluorenylidene derivatives 12 by chemoselective Wittig reaction with 9 -fluorenylidenetri- $n$-butylphosphorane. ${ }^{17}$ The adducts 12 show intense UV absorptions ( $\epsilon_{\max } \simeq 40000$; hexane) at both 258 and 229 nm . Furthermore, in contrast with $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$ (1), analytically pure fluorenylidene derivatives were readily isolated quantitatively by HPLC on partisil. As expected, $12-R R$ and the fluorenylidene derivative of $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$ methyl ester derived from $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ exhibit identical ORD curves while the curve for 12-SS is virtually a mirror image (Figure 1).

Levuglandin $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ was prepared in good yield from the ketal $10-R R$ (or $10-S R$ ) by treatment with aqueous acetic acid followed by sodium periodate. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of synthetic $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$ is identical with that of the more stable levuglandin obtained from $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ except for tiny absorptions owing to minor impurities in the latter sample which were absent in the synthetic product. The total synthesis now makes $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$ readily available. The present results also demonstrate that epimerization of $\mathrm{LGE}_{2}$ at positions 8 or 9 is not extensive under the conditions of its solvent-induced formation from $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$.
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## The Nature of Restrictions in the Binding Site of Rhodopsin. A Model Study
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Continuing the effort of early workers, ${ }^{1}$ the research teams at Hawaii and elsewhere have recently completed the synthesis of all 16 possible geometric isomers of vitamin A. ${ }^{2}$ Among the 14 stable retinal isomers, 10 have been shown to form visual pigment analogues: 11 -cis, 9 -cis, ${ }^{1} 9$-cis, 13 -cis, ${ }^{1.3} 7$-cis, 7 -cis $9-\mathrm{cis}, 7$ cis, 13 -cis, 7 -cis, 9 -cis, 13 -cis, ${ }^{4} 7$-cis, 11 -cis, ${ }^{5} 9$-cis, 11 -cis, ${ }^{6}$ and 7 cis, 9 -cis, 11 -cis. 7,8 The notable exceptions are the all-trans and the 13 -cis isomers, which do not yield stable analogues. However, it is known that after introduced into the binding site, such geometries can be generated as transient forms, i.e., in lumirhodopsin (or Meta-I) ${ }^{9}$ and photo-Meta-II-465. ${ }^{10}$

The failure of these two isomers to form stable pigments has been rationalized on the ground of a longitudinal restriction of the binding site. ${ }^{11,12}$ This explanation is quite satisfactory for the all-trans isomer, which has the longest distance between the center of the cyclohexenyl ring and the carbonyl carbon. ${ }^{11}$ Since the 13 -cis isomer is not uniquely longer than several other isomers, conformational rigidity was also considered important. ${ }^{12}$
An analysis of longitudinal restrictions based on the length of the chromophore overlooks the tetherlike function of the $n$-butyl group of Lys-296. ${ }^{13}$ Also, the model implies freedom of in-plane motion of the imino carbon relative to a rigid cyclohexenyl ring. This is only partially correct because within the binding site the relative distance of the primary (the protonated Schiff base) and the secondary binding sites (the hydrophobic pocket) is likely to vary only within the limits allowed by conformational changes of the butyl group and to a smaller extent the chromophore itself. We now present a different approach in analyzing the shape of the binding site on the basis of its behavior toward retinal isomers.
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[^0]:    (18) Kice, J. L. Adv, Phys. Org. Chem. 1980, 17, 65-181.
    (19) At $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in acetone/water, $\mathrm{MeS}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ gives $(E, Z)$ $\mathrm{MeS}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SSMe},(E, Z)-\mathrm{MeS}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHSSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{MeS}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{SMe}, 7$, and 8.
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